# nahMh

# Synthesis of 1,4,2-Oxathiazoles via Oxidative Cyclization of Thiohydroximic Acids

Bérénice C. Lemercier and Joshua G. Pierce\*

Department of Chemistry, North Carolina State Unive[rsit](#page-2-0)y, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695, United States

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [An oxidative](#page-2-0) formation of 1,4,2-oxathiazoles from readily available thiohydroximic acids is reported. A variety of alkyl, aryl, and heteroaryl substituents are well tolerated for both the thiohydroximic acid and activating fragments, and the reaction has been demonstrated on gramscale. This reaction represents the only method currently



available to prepare a diverse set of oxathiazoles and expands the chemistry of C−H oxidation via appended N−OH functional groups. Finally, we also demonstrate the rapid preparation of a 1,4,2-oxathiazole analog of an anticancer lead molecule.

**Titrogen** containing heterocycles are prevalent in a majority of pharmaceutical compounds; $<sup>1</sup>$  therefore, new</sup> ways to access existing and novel nitrogen heterocycles are always needed to expand the medicinal chemis[ts](#page-2-0)' toolbox. 1,4,2- Oxathiazoles are 5-membered ring heterocycles containing three different heteroatoms that have been very scarcely reported in the literature.<sup>2</sup> Current approaches to their synthesis rely on  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & + & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  cycloaddition between a nitrile oxide and a thiocarbonyl (S[ch](#page-2-0)eme 1, eq 1), analogous to the

# Scheme 1. Strategies for the Preparation of 1,4,2- **Oxathiazoles**



preparation of their oxygen and nitrogen counterparts.<sup>2,3</sup> Due to the instability of thioaldehydes and thioketones, there are almost no 5-alkyl-1,4,2-oxathiazoles reported in the lit[er](#page-2-0)[at](#page-3-0)ure, yet these heterocycles could be of great utility for medicinal chemists as a new scaffold for SAR. Indeed, closely relate[d](#page-3-0) heterocycles such as 1,2,4-oxadiazoles, thiazoles, and isoxazoles are privileged scaffolds for drug discovery.<sup>1,3,5</sup> Moreover, 1,4,2oxathiazoles have been shown to be useful isothiocyanate  $(ITC)$  precursors upon thermal decompo[si](#page-2-0)[tio](#page-3-0)n. $6$  As part of our efforts to investigate the use of thiohydroximic acids as building blocks for synthesis,<sup>7</sup> we discovered that they could be oxidized to access 5H-1,4,2-oxathiazoles (Scheme 1, eq 4). Prior work by Praly et al. reporte[d](#page-3-0) the formation of 5,5′-spiroglucosyl-1,4,2 oxathiazoles via free-radical cyclization of S-glucosyl-thiohydroximic acids (Scheme 1, eq 2). $8$  Chiba and co-workers described the oxidative cyclization of amidoximes via oxime radicals to afford 1,2,4-oxadiazoles ([Sc](#page-3-0)heme 1, eq 3).<sup>9</sup> Despite these efforts, there remains no general method to access 1,4,2 oxathiazoles from readily available starting materials; [th](#page-3-0)erefore, we explored the oxidation of readily available thiohydroximic acids to access 1,4,2-oxathiazoles.

Thiohydroximic acid derivatives were easily prepared on scale in one step via alkylation of the corresponding thiohydroxamic acids<sup>7a</sup> or in a two-step, one-pot process from the corresponding oximes via an improved procedure from our previously p[ub](#page-3-0)lished method. Both methods provided a variety of thiohydroximic acids from commercially available aldehydes. We began our optimization studies with the S-benzyl thiohydroximic acid 1a (Table 1).<sup>10</sup> Upon heating at 100 °C in 1,4-dioxane with  $Cu<sup>H</sup>$  as the oxidant, we obtained the desired 1,4,2-oxathiazole 2a in [32% yiel](#page-1-0)d [\(](#page-3-0)entry 1) and confirmed its structure via X-ray analysis (see Figure S1). We then screened various solvents and temperatures, and the reaction yield was improved to 46% in DMF at 150 °C (entries 2−4). Employing  $Cu<sup>I</sup>$  oxidants did not improve the yield of 2a (Table S1); however, 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) provided the desired product in 71% yield (entry 5). Solvents were screened again with DDQ (see Table S1) as the oxidant, and DMF remained the best solvent under anhydrous reaction conditions. In an effort to further optimize the process, we explored the use of additives. The addition of triethylamine decomposed the starting material (entry 6), while camphorsulfonic acid  $(CSA)$  and pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (PPTS)

Received: August 3, 2015 Published: September 2, 2015

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions<sup> $a$ </sup>

oxidant (1 equiv) additive	
solvent, reflux, time Ph 1a	
conditions	2a $(\%)^b$
$Cu(OAc)_{2}·H_{2}O$ , 1,4-dioxane, 30 min	32
$Cu(OAc)_{2}·H_{2}O$ , toluene, 25 min	28
$Cu(OAc)_{2}·H_{2}O$ , DMSO, 15 min	34
$Cu(OAc)_{2}·H_{2}O$ , DMF, 20 min	46
DDQ, $DMFic 15 min$	71
DDQ, $DMFic Et3N$ (1 equiv), 10 min	d
DDQ, $DMFic$ CSA (1 equiv), 1 h	71
DDQ, $DMFic$ PPTS (1 equiv), 15 min	71
DDQ, DMF, <sup>c</sup> p-TsOH·H <sub>2</sub> O (1 equiv), 15 min	83
DDQ, DMF, $\degree$ p-TsOH·H <sub>2</sub> O (10 mol %), 5 min	71
DDQ, DMF, $\degree$ p-TsOH·H <sub>2</sub> O (50 mol %), 20 min	82
$DMFic p-TsOH·H2O$ (1 equiv), 23 h	$\mathfrak{e}$
DMF <sub>i</sub> <sup>c</sup> 23 h	$\mathfrak{e}$
	Ph

 $a$ Performed on 50 mg scale at 0.05 M.  $b$ Isolated product yield.  $c$ Flame- $\frac{d}{dx}$  and flushed with  $N_2$  reaction vessel.  $\frac{d}{dx}$  Starting material decomposition. <sup>*e*</sup>No reaction.

left the yield unchanged (entries 7 and 8). Employing ptoluenesulfonic acid  $(p-TsOH)$  as an additive improved the yield of 2a to 83%, even in substoichiometric quantities (entries 9−11). Control reactions demonstrated the necessity of the oxidant for production of 2a (entries 12 and 13).

With these optimized conditions in hand, we explored the substrate scope of the C−H activating group  $\alpha$  to the sulfur  $(R^2, 1a-q,$  Figure 1). Aromatic activating groups gave moderate to high yields with the exception of the pyridine substrate, which provided decomposition (2a−e). Ether (2f), ester  $(2g)$  and simple alkyl  $(2h)$  groups were not successful, due to either their lack of reactivity or instability. In contrast, the more activating cyclopropyl group gave a moderate yield of product 2i. This result encouraged us to try an oxirane substituent, but it decomposed without providing the desired product 2j. We then screened various alkene-activating groups (2l−n), and most produced a low yield of 1,4,2-oxathiazole with the exception of the cinnamyl group that gave 68% yield of 2k. Because most alkene activating groups gave slower reaction times, we propose that the low yields obtained are due to the insufficient activation of the starting material rather than the lack of stabilization of the intermediate. The prolonged reaction times likely facilitate the decomposition of the 1,4,2-oxathiazole products, which would explain the low yields. Finally, we explored alkynes as the activating group; however, this functionality was not stable to the reaction and provided no product 2q (Figure 1).

Variation of the thiohydroximic acid substituent was then explored  $(R^1, 1r-z)$ . Aromatic  $(2r)$  and substituted aromatic (2s) substrates gave high yields of 1,4,2-oxathiazoles, while heteroaromatic substrates did not perform as well (2t−v). Cinnamyl thiohydroximic acid and alkyl thiohydroximic acids were well tolerated and provided moderate to high yields of 1,4,2-oxathiazoles  $(2w, 2x, and 2y)$ . Finally, the reactive ester functional group smoothly underwent cyclization and provided 2z in 68% yield, which provides a useful handle for further functionalization.

During the evaluation of the substrate scope, we performed the reaction with O-methyl S-benzyl thiohydroximic acid 3 in



<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1. Substrate scope of 1,4,2-oxathiazole formation. Performed on 100 mg scale at 0.05 M: (b) decomposition of starting material; (c) no reaction; (d) no p-TsOH was used.

order to investigate the reaction mechanism (Figure 2, eq 1). Indeed, the oxidative cyclization could be triggered by two distinct mechanisms: (1) the oxidation of the oxime to an iminoxyl radical followed by a 1,5-hydrogen atom abstraction of the activated C−H bond<sup>9,11</sup> or (2) the direct oxidation of the



Figure 2. Mechanistic study (1), proposed mechanism (2), and radical clock reaction (3).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>activated benzylic position  $\alpha$  to the sulfur followed by the nucleophilic attack by the oxime.<sup>12</sup> To explore the potential mechanisms, we subjected 3 to our reaction conditions to evaluate the ability of DDQ to ox[idi](#page-3-0)ze the benzylic position in the absence of an N−OH moiety. After prolonged reaction times, we observed no 1,4,2-oxathiazole product 2a as well as no thiohydroxamic acid 4 as would be expected if a thiocarbenium ion was formed (3 was reisolated in >75% recovery). Therefore, we propose that the reaction proceeds via a 1,5-hydrogen atom shift between the C−H  $\alpha$  to the sulfur and the iminoxyl radical (Figure 2, eq 2). Iminoxyl radicals are known to be relatively stable radicals that undergo this type of hydrogen shift produc[ing more](#page-1-0) reactive carbon radicals in a reversible manner.<sup>9,11</sup> Moreover, sulfur is known to stabilize  $\alpha$ radicals and carbocations.<sup>13</sup> Interestingly, thiohydroximic acid 1i containing the [well](#page-3-0)-known cyclopropyl radical clock gave the unopened cyclopropyl p[rod](#page-3-0)uct 2i and not 5 as would be expected if a radical was formed  $\alpha$  to the sulfur (Figure 2, eq 3). This result could be rationalized by the possibility that the radical is oxidized by DDQ faster than the rea[rrangeme](#page-1-0)nt can occur or that the sulfur sufficiently stabilizes the radical/ carbocation to prevent the opening of the cyclopropyl group.<sup>14</sup>

We also evaluated the scalability of the oxidative cyclization (Figure 3, eq 1). We performed the reaction with S-ben[zyl](#page-3-0)



Figure 3. Scale-up of the oxidative cyclization (1) and 1,2,4-oxadiazole analog formation (2).

thiohydroximic acid 1a on 1 g scale and at 0.1 M concentration. We were pleased to obtain 2a in 72% yield, highlighting the practicality of this method for building block synthesis. In order to demonstrate the utility of our method for analog synthesis, we applied it to the synthesis of the 1,4,2-oxathiazole analog 8 of a 1,2,4-oxadiazole anticancer lead compound 6 (Figure 3).<sup>15</sup> Gratifyingly, subjection of 7 to our optimized conditions provided analog 6 in 71% yield (Figure 3, eq 2).

Finally, we evaluated the further functionalization of our 1,4,2-oxathiazole products (Scheme 2). 1,4,2-Oxathiazole 2k could be converted to the corresponding alcohol 11 very efficiently in three steps and 68% yield, highlighting the versatility of our products and their utility as building blocks for synthesis. Indeed, in our hands 1,4,2-oxathiazoles are stable to acidic reaction conditions, aqueous basic workup, silica gel chromatography, hydrogenation conditions, and oxidative reaction conditions.

In conclusion, we have developed a novel method to access 5H-1,4,2-oxathiazoles via the oxidative cyclization of readily

Scheme 2. Functionalization of 1,4,2-Oxathiazole 2k



available thiohydroximic acids. The method provides access to currently unavailable 1,4,2-oxathiazoles bearing a wide range of functional groups in up to 82% yield and is amenable to gram scale synthesis. Importantly, this method represents the only practical way to access these heterocycles that could be of great utility for medicinal chemists as new scaffolds for SAR studies of their related nitrogen and oxygen containing heterocycles. Our initial studies show the potential of our method for analog synthesis and building block preparation, and further efforts in these areas will be reported in due course.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

# **6** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02256.

Experimental procedures, characterization of products, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (PDF) Crystallographic information for 2a (CIF)

# ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: jgpierce@ncsu.edu.

#### Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

# **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the NSF (Grant CHE-1454845) and Donors of the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund for funding. Mass spectrometry data were obtained at the NCSU Mass Spectroscopy Facility. We thank Dr. Roger Sommer (NCSU) for X-ray crystallographic analysis of 2a. Patrick Parker (NCSU) is acknowledged for characterization of compounds 1f, 1k, 1l, and 1o−1q.

# ■ REFERENCES

(1) Vitaku, E.; Smith, D. T.; Njardarson, J. T. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 10257.

(2) (a) Argyropoulos, N. G. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III; Katritzky, A. R., Ramsden, C. A., Scriven, E. F. V., Taylor, R. J. K., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2008; Vol. 6, pp 105−144. (b) Dickore, K.; ́ Wegler, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1966, 5, 970. (c) Maignan, J.; Vialle, J. Bull. Soc. Chim. 1973, 6, 1973. (d) Huisgen, R.; Mack, W. Chem. Ber. 1972, 105, 2815. (e) Chung, W.-S.; Tsai, T.-L.; Ho, C.-C.;

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Chiang, M. Y. N.; le Noble, W. J. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4672. (f) Feddouli, A.; Ittoa, M. Y. A.; Hasnaouia, A.; Villeminb, D.; Jaffres,̀ P.-A.; De Oliveira Santos, J. S.; Riahid, A.; Huet, F.; Daran, J.-C. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2004, 41, 731. (g) Altintas, O.; Glassner, M.; Rodriguez-Emmenegger, C.; Welle, A.; Trouillet, V.; Barner-Kowollik, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5777.

(3) Hemming, K. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III; Katritzky, A. R., Ramsden, C. A., Scriven, E. F. V., Taylor, R. J. K., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2008; Vol 5, pp 243−314.

(4) Cooper, N. J. In Comprehensive Organic Functional Group Transformations II; Katritzky, A. R., Taylor, R. J. K., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2005; Vol 3, pp 355−396.

(5) (a) Irfan, I.; Sawangjaroen, N.; Bhat, A. R.; Azam, A. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 45, 1648. (b) Cai, J.; Wei, H.; Hong, K. H.; Wu, X.; Zong, X.; Cao, M.; Wang, P.; Li, L.; Sun, C.; Chen, B.; Zhou, G.; Chen, J.; Ji, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2015, 23, 3457.

(6) (a) Burkett, B. A.; Kane-Barber, J. M.; O'Reilly, R. J.; Shi, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 5355. (b) Burkett, B. A.; Fu, P.; Hewitt, R. J.; Ng, S. L.; Toh, J. D. W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 2014, 1053. (c) Lim, Y. W.; Hewitt, R. J.; Burkett, B. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 2015, 4840.

(7) (a) Lemercier, B. C.; Pierce, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 2321. (b) Lemercier, B. C.; Pierce, J. G. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2074.

(8) (a) Praly, J.-P.; Boye, S.; Joseph, B.; Rollin, P. ́ Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3419. (b) Nagy, V.; Benltifa, M.; Vidal, S.; Berzsenyi, E.; ́ Teilhet, C.; Czifrák, K.; Batta, G.; Docsa, T.; Gergely, P.; Somsák, L.; Praly, J.-P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2009, 17, 5696.

(9) Zhang, F.-L.; Wang, Y.-F.; Chiba, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 6003.

(10) For a more detailed optimization table, see Table S1 in the Supporting Information.

(11) (a) Zhu, X.; Wang, Y.-F.; Ren, W.; Zhang, F.-L.; Chiba, S. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3214. (b) Shi, D.; Qin, H.-T.; Zhu, C.; Liu, F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 2015, 5084. For a review on C-sp<sup>3</sup> oxidation by iminoxyl radicals, see: (c) Chiba, S.; Chen, H. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 4051.

(12) DDQ has been shown to oxidize activated C−H bonds and create a heteroatom-stabilized electrophile that can be trapped by a nucleophile: (a) Zhang, Y.; Li, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4242. (b) Tu, W.; Liu, L.; Floreancig, P. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4184. (c) Cui, Y.; Floreancig, P. E. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1720. (d) Rimaz, M.; Khalafy, J.; Badali, M.; Slepokura, K.; Lis, T.; Souldozi, A.; Ramazani, A.; Joo, S. W. J. Struct. Chem. 2013, 54, 217. (e) Bhunia, S.; Ghosh, S.; Dey, D.; Bisai, A. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2426. (f) Sun, S.; Li, C.; Floreancig, P. E.; Lou, H.; Liu, L. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1684. (g) Gillard, R. M.; Sperry, J. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 2900.

(13) (a) Luedtke, A. E.; Timberlake, J. W. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 268. (b) Korth, H. G.; Sustmann, R.; Groninger, K. S.; Leisung, M.; Giese, B. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4364.

(14) (a) Olah, G. A.; Reddy, V. P.; Prakash, G. K. S. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 69. (b) Peh, G.; Floreancig, P. E. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5614.

(15) Zhang, H.-Z.; Kasibhatla, S.; Kuemmerle, J.; Kemnitzer, W.; Ollis-Mason, K.; Qiu, L.; Crogan-Grundy, C.; Tseng, B.; Drewe, J.; Cai, S. X. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 5215.